

# GYPSUM RECLAMATION CONCEPT PLAN

PREPARED BY: GYPSUM RESOURCES, LLC

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

June 29, 2011



**1.0 INTRODUCTION + STATUS + APPROVAL REQUEST**

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.2 Concept Plan Purpose
- 1.3 Status
- 1.4 Designation of Major Project
- 1.5 Community Outreach + Public Participation
- 1.6 Approach
- 1.7 Planning Strategies
- 1.8 Project Summary
- 1.9 Approval Request

**2.0 VISION**

- 2.1 Vision Statement
- 2.2 Goals + Objectives + Planning Principles

**3.0 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS**

- 3.1 Methodology
- 3.2 Location
- 3.3 History
- 3.4 Property Description + Site Characteristics
- 3.5 Slope
- 3.6 Soil + Geology
- 3.7 Surface Water Hydrology + Drainage Assessment
- 3.8 Vegetation
- 3.9 Wildlife
- 3.10 Climate
- 3.11 Viewshed Analysis
- 3.12 Community Facilities
- 3.13 Constraints Composite + Development Suitability

**4.0 COMMUNITY PLAN**

- 4.1 Study Area
- 4.2 Concept Plan Overview
- 4.3 Sensitive Lands + Land Transfer
- 4.4 Planning Principles + Programmatic Goals
- 4.5 Proposed Land Uses
- 4.6 Circulation + Mobility
- 4.7 Phasing Plan
- 4.8 Plan Flexibility

**5.0 COMMUNITY SERVICES**

- 5.1 Police Service
- 5.2 Fire Service
- 5.3 Water Service
- 5.4 Wastewater Service
- 5.5 Drainage and Flood Control
- 5.6 Schools

**6.0 GOING FORWARD**

- 6.1 Our Philosophy



## **1.0 INTRODUCTION + STATUS + APPROVAL REQUEST**



## 1.1 INTRODUCTION

The Gypsum Reclamation Study and associated Concept Plan, commissioned by Gypsum Resources, LLC is intended to be a comprehensive analysis and planning effort to ensure the long-term management of this unique and valuable resource. A key component in this Study is a commitment to Public Outreach and Community Participation. The goal of this consensus planning process, in contrast to the business-as-usual planning process, is to ensure that the vision for the future of the property and the program and design qualities for any reclamation solutions respect the needs and interests of the surrounding communities, the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area (RRCNCA), Southern Nevada, and Clark County.

The Gypsum Reclamation Study is an initiative designed to analyze, understand, program, reclaim, restore, and develop the historic gypsum mining land commonly known as “Blue Diamond Hill”. Additionally the Reclamation Study and associated Concept Plan seeks to address a wide range of community needs such as open space, conservation, sustainability, housing, economic development, job creation, and education.

This document, The Concept Plan, is one of the three planning procedures required to implement a Comprehensive Plan Amendment and zoning change, necessary for the reclamation of the property. Each of these steps is described below:

- Step 1 – Concept Plan
- Step 2 – Specific Plan, Public Facilities Needs Assessment (PFNA)
- Step 3 – Development Agreement

The Concept Plan is the first step in the Major Projects Process as described in Title 30, Chapter 30.08, and section 30.08.030 of the Clark County Code and is intended to describe the general location, existing conditions, and proposed land uses for projects larger than 700 acres.

The Gypsum Reclamation Study and associated Concept Plan is comprised of a primary Study Area totaling approximately 5,830 acres and includes the areas defined by the Exception Area, Gypsum Resources Property, and adjacent BLM lands. A secondary Study Area of approximately 3,466 acres has been identified as “the project”. The purpose of designating a larger study area than the privately owned land is to ensure a comprehensive and cohesive plan is developed for the area and the relationships between the properties and the anticipated uses are adequately identified and addressed.

## 1.2 CONCEPT PLAN PURPOSE

This Concept Plan report and associated maps provide a vision for the property followed by a series of Goals, Objectives, Planning Principles, and Concept Plan overview. This narrative has been prepared in conjunction with an intensive public outreach process that included a variety of workshops, open houses and planning efforts that included a thorough analysis of the existing site’s conditions. The associated concepts and plans prepared as part of this report ensure a high quality, environmentally responsive place and experience for the residents of Clark County and visitors alike.

The site’s proximity to one of the nations most beautiful and treasured environments provides unique opportunities, challenges, and inherent responsibilities – *celebrate the unique qualities of this place.*

This property's opportunities are unique. The closure of the James Hardie Gypsum Mining operation offers a new beginning in the efforts to reclaim the site. The James Hardie Mining operations spanned approximately 80 years and focused on the extraction of gypsum in open pit and gypsum extraction mining operations. The impacts to the site by the mining and extraction activities, particularly the impacts of open pit mining, have left the property in a denuded state.

This project will reflect Clark County's long-term commitment to envision, establish, and maintain high quality, environmentally responsive communities. This site provides a context to contribute to the larger regional environment rather than simply meeting a specific development need.

The reclamation of the James Hardie mining operations will repair damage that has been done to the landscape, environment, and ecosystems of the property. The initial studies to date have identified several potential principles, goals, and objectives that will guide the more detailed planning process.

The proposed Reuse and Reclamation Development Concept Plan is intended to study the viability and appropriateness of a wide variety of land uses, housing types, open space types and functions, mobility options, and learning opportunities.

Gypsum Resources intends to demonstrate that the proposed project request meets or exceeds the goals and purposes of Title 30 and the Clark County Comprehensive Plan.

### **1.3 STATUS**

The Project Area includes approximately 2,464.5 acres owned by Gypsum Resources, LLC. as well as identified surrounding BLM lands totaling approximately 5,830 acres and includes the areas defined by the Exception Area and Gypsum Resources Property. The Property is currently designated as R-U (Rural Open Land) Zone. The existing zoning designation for the Gypsum Resources, LLC lands allow for the development with a density of 1 unit per 2 acres for the entire 2,464.5 acres.

The opportunity exists to develop a comprehensive and cohesive plan that addresses the existing conditions of the site, the context of the site, the unique environmental setting, and the desire to actively engage and work closely with Clark County and the affected stakeholders.

Gypsum Resources is committed to a continued listening and learning process with the various stakeholders (County leaders, civic leaders, governmental agencies, conservation organizations, interested community members, etc.).

### **1.4 DESIGNATION OF MAJOR PROJECT**

The Major Projects process, as outlined in Title 30.20, is required for projects of 700 acres or more. The intent of the Major Projects Process is to allow for a comprehensive, timely review, predictable consideration, and understanding of the impacts on the existing community, identification of related issues, and the requirement for public resources. The proposed project considers the development of a Concept Plan for the property formally known as the James Hardie Gypsum Mine and now referred to as Blue Diamond Hill.


The first steps in the process include: a Pre-application Conference, Neighborhood Meeting, and the Concept Plan Technical Review.

The subsequent steps following the designation of Major Project status are as follows:

- Public Outreach Process, Public Meetings and Workshops
- Concept Plan preparation, review, and approval
- Public Facilities Needs Assessment (PFNA)
- Specific Plan
- Development Agreement
- Land Use Application Submittal process, Approvals, and Zoning

The applicant intends to demonstrate that the proposed project request meets and exceeds the goals and purposes of Title 30 and the Clark County Comprehensive Plan.





**LEGEND**

- GYPSUM RESOURCES PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- RED ROCK OVERLAY DISTRICT
- RED ROCK CONSERVATION AREA
- GAS LINE





## 1.5 COMMUNITY OUTREACH + PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Major Projects process, as defined in Title 30 of the Clark County Code, provides the best structure for producing a unified, feasible, comprehensive, cohesive, and long-range master plan for the Gypsum Resources property and adjacent lands. A fundamental component of the Major Project process is the Public Outreach Process, a process that encourages the involvement and input of the public throughout the planning process.

Over the past 18 months, Gypsum Resources, LLC and its representatives have worked purposefully to engage in an interactive and participatory process involving a series of interactive workshops, open houses, and study sessions with a diverse group of business leaders, community stakeholders, and governing agencies. Through this process, the Gypsum Reclamation Study gathered input regarding important issues such as; future economic diversification, real estate development patterns, resource management, and the critical steps necessary to assure a successful community outreach process.

The purpose of the Public Outreach Program, Gypsum Reclamation Study, and subsequent Planning Process is to assist the Gypsum Resources Team in identifying issues and opportunities related to the reclamation and ultimate development of the “Blue Diamond” gypsum mine and surrounding BLM lands.

A vital component of the planning and outreach process is to engage the government agencies in early discussions about the opportunities and constraints associated with the project and its potential development. Several briefing and coordination meetings have taken place with various governmental agencies.

Gypsum Resources has taken on an extensive public outreach process over the past year designed to engage the general public and specific stakeholders in the community. The information that has been gained by this interaction has been incorporated into the development and design of the overall project. Through a series of workshops, community meetings, stakeholder meetings, open houses, and site tours the team has sought to understand the issues, listen to concerns, and frame alternatives.


Beginning in the summer of 2010, Gypsum Resources began the groundwork and planning for the public outreach. Given the controversy surrounding the development of the site, the company realized that an interactive process with the Clark County community was preferable to a more traditional and narrow approach. The team identified multiple members from different community groups that have had an interest in the property in the past and asked them to get involved.

In the fall of 2010, Gypsum Resources began its first Strategic Advisory Group (SAG) meetings. These meetings included members from the Sierra Club, Blue Diamond Residents, Friends of Red Rock, Clark County representatives, the Howard Hughes Corporation, Southwest Action Network (SWAN), Enterprise Town Board, RRCAC and many others. The goal of these meetings was to engage the individual groups and begin a dialogue about what Gypsum Resources was planning and how the community could positively impact that process.

At the same time, Gypsum Resources invited the local media for an in depth tour of the property. All the major television news programs and newspapers were invited for a day on the hill. The programs and articles were an opportunity for the Las Vegas community to see the property and to hear about the public site tours and open houses that were planned for the coming months.

From December of 2010 through May of 2011, Gypsum Resources hosted a total of 8 SAG meetings, brainstorming, researching and presenting their plans to the different groups. At the same time, two Public Open Houses were held where additional feedback from the Las Vegas community was collected and incorporated into the process. At the same time, Gypsum Resources began public on-site tours. These tours, which took place each week, totaled 44 tours and included several hundred people. The tours were an opportunity for the community to see the property and to experience firsthand the areas that have been impacted by the mining operations.

An informational public website was also created to give the community a better understanding of the history and the location. Photos, a video site tour, maps and biographical information are all available at [www.gypsumreclamationstudy.com](http://www.gypsumreclamationstudy.com).



## **PUBLIC OUTREACH PROGRAM**

## **1.6 APPROACH**

One of the many goals associated with the Gypsum Reclamation Study is to work in a public-private partnership to protect the precious resource that is the Red Rock Conservation Area and provide for other public needs and benefits such as housing, schools, and economic development.

The team's approach to environmental stewardship is based on best practices and tried and true solutions to sustainable development. The approach blends the talents and expertise in planning, design, environmental resource management, engineering, and social science to ensure the solutions are appropriate and reflect the needs of the community.

Gypsum Resources approach to community building can be described as actively developing strategies for integrative, socially inclusive, and environmentally responsive development. This process aims not to merely protect land, but fulfill other responsibilities and meet other objectives as well. We seek to protect, restore, and foster community within the context of a comprehensive and inclusive public outreach process.

## **1.7 PLANNING STRATEGIES**

To thoroughly understand the opportunities and constraints associated with the property, a team of consultants has been retained to analyze, document, and interpret the site's physical factors. The team has analyzed topography, history, cultural resources, view shed, scenic resources, biology, geology, etc., using the latest Geographic Information System (GIS) technology.

Furthermore, the Gypsum Resources team has implemented a thoughtful, collaborative, and comprehensive planning process that emphasizes public involvement. This process emphasizes the guiding principles of dynamic community planning:

- Work Collaboratively
- Design in a Multi-disciplinary Approach
- Study the Details and the Whole
- Confirm Progress through Measuring Outcomes
- Produce Feasible Plan(s)
- Conduct Multiples Workshops/Open House

## 1.8 PROJECT SUMMARY

This property offers opportunities that are unique. The closure of the James Hardie Gypsum Mining operation offers a new beginning in the efforts to reclaim the site. The James Hardie mining operations spanned approximately 80 years and focused on the extraction of gypsum in open pit and gypsum extraction mining operations. The impacts of the mining and extraction activities, particularly the impacts of open pit mining, on the site over the last 80 years or more has left the property in a denuded state.

This project will reflect Clark County's long-term commitment to envision, establish, and maintain high quality, environmentally responsive communities. This site provides a context for a unique opportunity to contribute to the larger regional environment rather than simply meeting a specific development need.

The reclamation of the James Hardie mining operations will repair damage that has been done to the landscape, environment, and ecosystems of the property. The initial studies to date have identified several potential principles, goals, and objectives that will guide the more detailed planning process.

The land is severely degraded after decades of mining. The mining plan of operations contemplated a reclamation plan that included the development of housing after the mining operations were ceased.

At the same time, because of the creation of additional public spaces, parks, and recreational areas within the proposed development, there will be more opportunities for people to enjoy the splendor of Red Rock Canyon without impacting the Canyon floor, the scenic loop, or the state park.

## 1.9 APPROVAL REQUEST

The Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan has been prepared in accordance with the Clark County Major Projects Review Procedure (Clark County Code, Title 30.20) and satisfies those requirements described in the aforementioned code. The Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan was prepared by Gypsum Resources, LLC and / or successors and assigns, hereinafter referred to as the "Master Developer".

This Concept Plan describes proposed land uses and associated community design features for a Study Area located within an unincorporated area of Clark County historically referred to as "Blue Diamond Hill". Specifically this Concept Plan refers to the secondary Study Area or "Project" totally approximately 3,466.41 acres.

The Study Area includes portions of Sections 4, 5, 7, 8 & 9 of Township 22 South, Range 59 East; portions of Sections 24, 25 and 36 of Township 21 South, Range 58 East; and portions of Sections 20, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 & 33 of Township 21 South, Range 59 East. The Assessor Parcels Numbers are:

1642000002	16431101004	17505301002	16431101002
16429000001	16431201001	17505501001	16431201002
16429000002	16431201003	17505601001	16432801002
16429000003	16431501001	17505701001	16433002001
16430101001	16431501002	17507601003	16433001001

16430101002	16431601001	17507601005	16420000001
16430101003	16431601002	17508201002	16525000002
16430201001	16431701001	17508601001	16536000002
16430301001	16432201001	17508601002	16431301001
16430301003	16432201002	17508601003	17505301001
16430401001	16432301001	17508701002	17505401000
16430401003	16432501001	17509000002	17508101001
16430401004	16432601001	17509000004	17508501001
16430401005	16432701001	17509000005	17505801002
16430501002	16432701002	17509099002	17508501002
16430601002	16432801001	16430501001	17508501003
16430601003	16433001002	16430601001	17504000002
16430701002	16524000003	16430701001	17504000001
16430701003	16525000003	16430101004	16428000001
16430701004	16525000004	16430201002	17508501001
16430801001	16536000003	16430301002	17508501002
16430801002	16536000004	16430401002	17508501003
16430801003	16536000005	16429000004	
16431101001	17505101001	16432101001	
16431101003	17505201001	16431501003	



## 2.0 VISION



## 2.1 VISION STATEMENT

***“Create a multi-dimensional community that overcomes the compartmentalized approach of conventional planning and instead focuses on a holistic, integrated view of creating great places.”***

The Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan is envisioned as a sustainable community that gracefully merges the desert landscape with the built environment. Central to this vision is a community-wide open space system. A system that embrace the unique qualities of the area, preserves view corridors, creates public access, and reclaims much of the land that has been impacted by the strip and open pit mining activities of the past.

As an alternative to the existing (and historically assumed transitory) practice of developing the property as rural land subdivision, the Gypsum Reclamation Plan is designed to fulfill a wide variety of goals and objectives providing public benefit and economic development for the region. The plan is designed to responsibly accommodate a modest share of the expected population growth in the region over the next 30-50 years. The plan also advances a compact, mixed-use, mixed-income development program on lands that have been destroyed by the mining operations of the last 70 plus years.

This open space system will include both enhanced/improved and natural landscapes that serve the needs of the anticipated population as well as providing recreational facilities for the greater community. The open space system serves as the primary organizing element of the community. It becomes the backbone and the “heart and soul”. All community functions will relate strongly to the open space, the views, and the mobility the open space allows. At full build out, the plan will provide public access to open space and trails that has been sealed off by the mining operations of the last seven plus decades.

The heart of the community will be the “community core”. This zone is envisioned to become the center of recreation, education, research, health and wellness, employment, and services within an area at the geographic center of the property. These uses are purposefully clustered to maximize their interrelationships, maximize the sense of community, reduce reliance on automobile travel, and minimize impacts on environmentally sensitive areas.

Residential developments proposed within the plan are envisioned to include a broad diversity of home types, density ranges, sizes, and pricing levels. Home types are proposed to range from custom single-family home sites with defined building envelopes, to attached garden court villas. Homes and home sites are to be grouped in small neighborhoods, organized around a common open space area. Residential densities and locations will assure a harmonious integration of the built- and natural environments. Clusters of villas are proposed to be located within close proximity to the community core and village centers.

As part of the Planning Process, several circulation and transportation alternatives will be studies and evaluated for feasibility and effectiveness. Traffic Impact Studies will be prepared as necessary throughout the planning process to ensure roadway infrastructure is designed properly to allow for access and minimize negative impacts on existing roadway systems and communities.

By it’s physical scale, vision, sustainable development principles, and sensitivity to the surrounding communities, the Gypsum Reclamation Plan offers a new model for mining reclamation and community development in the desert southwest.

## 2.2 GOALS + OBJECTIVES + PLANNING PRINCIPLES

### **Economic Benefit**

Create new job opportunities, emphasize “green technologies”, and attract land uses that benefit the regional and local economy. The redevelopment of the mining site shall adhere to a fiscal integrity that ensures that it benefits the local economy and maintains the resources to maintain a high level of service. Create a development plan that generates enough revenue to fund reclamation of the mining impacts, infrastructure improvements and maintenance, public services, and provide public benefit in the form of open space, civic, recreational, educational, and leisure uses.

### **Redevelopment Stewardship**

Reclaim and develop the former mining site in a prudent manner that responds to the community’s needs; local, county, state and federal agencies; and sound, fiscally sustainable business practices.

### **Site Integrity**

This site is located within proximity of one of the nations most beautiful and treasured natural environments. The planning process and development of the project program, plans, guidelines, and builtscapes should respond to this unique setting. The potential is to set the standard for human communities and their relationships with the natural environment for the future. Establish a public outreach and planning process that overcomes the compartmentalized approach of conventional planning and instead focuses on a holistic, integrated approach.

### **Lifelong Learning**

Create a community model that emphasizes lifelong learning and education. Education and the associated elements will be the foundation of the community.

### **Traffic and Mobility**

Create and sustain a comprehensive transportation system that minimizes traffic, reliance on automobile, and carbon emissions. Create a circulation element that minimizes traffic impacts on adjacent communities. Create opportunities for public access and mobility within and through the site.

### **Open Space**


Create an open space system that is sympathetic to the desert environment, provides active and passive recreational facilities, emphasizes public access, functions as the predominant aesthetic resource, protects sensitive habitat, and regenerates currently denuded areas. Use and access will be encouraged through location, programs (education, research, active, passive, and preservation), accessibility, and amenities.

### **Land Use Organization**

Land uses should be organized to ensure their compatibility with the surrounding community, minimize negative impacts on adjacent open space resources, promote economic development, and develop a unique living environment that capitalizes on the sites redevelopment potential.

**Community Character**

The development of the site should reflect the rural and rustic characteristics of the surroundings, design and development standards that emphasize environmental compatibility, and should be attractive, high quality, and timeless. These standards will embrace the scenic beauty and natural resources of the site, and provide residents and visitors with an experience consistent with the surrounding areas.



### 3.0 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS





### 3.1 METHODOLOGY

This section provides an overview and description of the study area's physical characteristics and natural features. The following describes a preliminary assessment and analysis of the existing conditions. Appropriate, more detailed analysis and study will occur in subsequent phases of planning.

Gypsum Resources compiled the analysis with the assistance of a team of planners, engineers, biologists, economists, archeologists, and natural resource specialists who studied the history and physical characteristics of the place.

These studies included, but were not limited to:

- Slope and gradient
- Historical mining operations and impacts
- Solar aspect
- Wind direction, frequency, and speed
- Surface hydrology
- Soils and geology
- Cultural and historical resources
- Viewshed analysis (looking towards the study area from various off-site locations)
- Elevation
- Flora and fauna
- Access and historic roadways
- Surrounding and adjacent land uses and patterns
- Infrastructure (existing and proposed services)

Through the efforts of many consultants and utilization of the Geographic Information System (GIS), the various attributes of the Study Area were mapped and analyzed. By implementing the land suitability methodology, the physiographic attributes of the land were delineated as discrete data layers. These layers were then combined to create an opportunities and constraints composite map. This composite map was then interpreted into four distinct areas of development suitability. This analysis along with the interpretation of a development suitability matrix helped to inform the planning team of the land's carrying capacity for a human community and subsequent economic activity.

### 3.2 LOCATION

Located in the spectacular and scenic region of Southwest Nevada and directly west of Las Vegas, the Gypsum Resources, LLC property is unique in its character and geography. The property is approximately 4 to 6 miles (10-15 min. drive) from the existing neighborhoods west and south of Las Vegas.

The property is generally located to the west and south of Las Vegas, North of State Route 160 (Blue Diamond Road). The property consists of the areas commonly referred to as the James Hardie Gypsum Mine or Blue Diamond Hill.

The property is generally bounded by, but outside of the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area to the north, west, and south. The Red Rock Canyon National

Conservation Area was designated as Nevada's first National Conservation Area in 1990 and consists of nearly 200,000-acres.


Existing communities proximate to the property include Blue Diamond to the south, Bonnie Springs to the west, Spring Mountain Ranch State Park to the west, Calico Basin and Summerlin South to the north, and Desert Hills to the east.

The property is approximately 15-miles from the Las Vegas Strip.

The entire Property and associated Planning Areas are located within Clark County.

The property is accessible via State Route 159, approximately 1.5 miles west of State Route 160 (Blue Diamond Road), and an existing mining access road approximately 1/2 mile west of the Town of Blue Diamond.







### 3.3 HISTORY

Since 1925, Blue Diamond Hill gypsum mine operated as one of the world's largest gypsum mines. The mining operation included approximately 2,464 acres of active mining area, as well as a 471-acre gypsum wallboard plant and distribution facility, near SR 159 and approximately 1.5 miles west of SR 160 (Blue Diamond Road). The processing facility became operational in 1941. Prior to the plant's construction, the crude gypsum was freighted by train to Los Angeles for processing. Underground mining predominated from 1925 to 1950, with all mining operations from 1950 onward being open-pit mining. These open pit-mining operations were based primarily on the removal of the hilltops and ridgelines.

The mining plan of operations contemplates, in light of the decades of mining on the property, a reclamation plan that includes development and associated improvements after mining activities cease.

As an active mining operation, much of the existing site has been disturbed in support of mining operations and various support facilities occupy the site today, and it is likely that others have occupied the site in the past. The most prominent of the existing features is the plant that lies upon a portion of the alluvial fan in the southeastern segment of the site. The gypsum processing and wallboard manufacturing plant is currently operated by CertainTeed and is expected to be for the foreseeable future.

James Hardie Gypsum purchased the site in 1987 and continued the mining operations through 2003. In late 1999, the Australian-based company offered the mine property for sale in an effort to divest itself of U.S. properties.

In 2001, John Laing Homes entered into an agreement to purchase the property from James Hardie Inc. and prepared plans for a master planned community. Those plans were shelved and John Laing Homes did not move forward with the option to purchase the property.

Gypsum Resources, LLC purchased the property in 2002 and continues to manage the resource known as Blue Diamond Hill.

In 2003, both the State of Nevada and Clark County adopted laws preventing any landowner from applying for a zone change that increased density or proposed any uses other than very low density residential within the approximately 46,000-acre Red Rock Overlay District. These laws, or ordinances, also placed severe restrictions on any development proposals in the overlay.

Gypsum Resources, LLC filed lawsuits against both the State of Nevada and Clark County alleging these laws violated the constitutional right to equal protection under the law.

In November 2009, the Federal Court struck down the State Law (SB 358) as unconstitutional. The State is appealing the ruling.

To avoid continued litigation and the potential for the County Ordinance to be voided, Gypsum Resources and Clark County entered into settlement negotiations in 2009-2010. Both parties seeking to remedy the situation and ensure that the Red Rock Overlay District remains in place, agreed to a settlement that designated an Exception Area of approximately 5,116 acres within the Overlay District. This Exception Area would allow the Gypsum Resources properties and associated adjacent lands to be comprehensively planned and considered in accordance with the purpose of the Clark County Comprehensive Plan per

Chapter 30.12 (The Comprehensive Plan and Community Districts). This settlement agreement would also permit the property to be designated as a “Major Project” with the purpose to provide standards and procedures for the acceptance, processing, hearing, and final action on applications for lands designated as future development.

The settlement agreement was brought before the Clark County Commission and approved on April 21, 2010.






**IMPACTED AREAS POST-MINING RECLAMATION ACT**



**IMPACTED AREAS PRE-MINING RECLAMATION ACT**



MINING IMPACT ANALYSIS MAP  
JUNE 2011

GYPSUM RECLAMATION STUDY

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

© GYPSUM RESOURCES, LLC



### 3.4 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION + SITE CHARACTERISTICS

The Gypsum Resources LLC property, approximately 2,464 acres, consists primarily of significantly impacted areas as a by-product of the 80-year gypsum mining operations. Predominately due to the open pit mining operations over the last 40+ years, the site is characterized by large, deeply cut areas and large fill slopes (tailings). The site is accessible by numerous haul roads and dirt roads crisscrossing the entire property. Areas adjacent to the mining impact areas are comprised of gently sloping desert plains, rolling hills, and portions of various drainage courses.

Elevation within the property holdings reach approximately 4,950 feet above sea level at the highest point, descending to 3,640 feet at the lowest point on the west boundary and 3,300 feet at the lowest point along State Route 159.

In general, the site is dominated by a westward dipping ridge located between the Spring Mountains and Las Vegas Valley. The eastern most extent of the ridge maintains a near vertical cliff, which descends to an alluvial fan.


Natural conditions of the west sloping ridge generally consist of a series of ridges and incised arroyos that flow in a westward direction. All of these features have been “cut-off” by the mining impacts to the property.

Natural vegetation consists of plants that are native to southern Nevada. The property is characterized by vegetation of Creosote Bush Community, low vegetation with little or no tree cover. The dominant plant species include creosote; bursage; Mohave yucca; ratany; Mormon tea; cholla; beaver-tail; cotton-top; and hedgehog cactus.

Generally, the majority of the site has been severely impacted by the historic mining operations utilized in extracting gypsum and other resources.







ELEVATION ANALYSIS MAP



### 3.5 SLOPE

The areas of the property located in the geographic center are contained within a well-defined “bowl” that is framed by the defining ridge that forms the eastern boundary, the intervening ridge located between the property and SR 159, and various ridgelines located along the southern and northern edges of the study area.


Generally speaking, the property slopes up from the lower elevations along the western boundaries to the eastern ridgeline at an approximate average slope of 6-10%.

The interior areas of the property, those areas most impacted by the mining operations, are characterized as heavily graded (generally flat) with large cut and fill slopes making up the gradient. Graded, modified, or generally flat areas with a slope gradient of less than 12% account for approximately 1,074 acres or approximately 31% of the total Study Area (Project) acreage of 3,466.1 acres. These internal areas, located in the geographic center of the project, are the most impacted by the historic mining activities and have been graded almost completely flat.

The edges of the property, areas left primarily undisturbed, are comprised of a variety of slope gradients ranging from moderately to very steep. These areas are characterized as sloping ridges, deeply incised ravines, and gently sloping mesas.

Given the relatively consistent slope conditions overall, the property is conducive to a wide range of land uses, densities, and intensities. Additionally, the existing condition of predominately westerly facing slopes supports passive solar heating and offers dramatic views to the mountain ranges to the west.





ASPECT ANALYSIS MAP



### 3.6 SOIL + GEOLOGY

#### Site overview

The following geotechnical summary is preliminary in nature, based upon a series of historical studies and analysis of the property and associated mining operations. In subsequent phases of planning, design, and construction, additional geotechnical evaluation will be performed on the site to provide preliminary remedial recommendations for planning purposes; and then, once the grading plan is more refined, a geotechnical evaluation will need to be performed to provide specific remedial recommendations for earthwork construction.

Soil and bedrock materials can vary in character between excavations and natural outcrops or conditions exposed during mass grading. Site conditions may vary due to seasonal changes or other factors.

Blue Diamond Hill has been an operational mine since 1925. Several entities have controlled and operated the property over time. Initially, mining was conducted using underground room and pillar methods to extract ore containing concentrations of gypsum. In approximately 1950, mining operations changed to an open pit method of ore extraction. Significant amounts of the gypsum resource still remain on the property.

In general the bedrock conditions of the site consist of interbedded layers of limestone, dolomite, shale (claystone) and gypsum. Basically there were six beds of gypsum targeted for exploitation. The surface of the native (undisturbed) bedrock appears to be covered with only a thin soil layer.

Alluvium is generally the soil that was deposited by water. Colluvium is generally loose topsoil developed due to weathering and transported by gravity down slopes. Talus are coarse materials deposited due to rockfall at a cliff base and can form a slope at the base of the cliff. Colluvium and Talus often interfingers with alluvium. Onsite all of these materials occur but for simplicity we will refer to them generically as alluvium. It should be noted that besides the alluvium that occurs east of the cliff and the onsite fills, there is not much natural soil onsite to be used as fill.

#### Mining Activities and Their Affect on Development

Based on previous reports, approximately 7.58 acres of the estimated 74 acres of known underground workings are mapped. The balance of those acres will need to be mapped, but the workings will need to be made accessible, as many have suffered collapse. In the referenced report dated October 10, 2001 (The Tullar Report) the extent of underground workings RO-4, RO-9 & RO-10 have what appears to be well defined maps of the underground workings, including relative size and locations of pillars, so these workings would seem to just need verification. The underground workings of RO-3 were reportedly significantly removed by open pit mining operations, only leaving a small portion of the underground workings of RO-3 remaining. The boundary of all workings (underground and open pit) will need to be accurately defined and it should be noted that some of the underground workings were subsequently removed during open-pit mining operations.

Underground mine workings, if left in place, will likely need to be rehabilitated through remedial measures. These remedial measures will be a function of several interrelated items, such as; depth below graded surface, potential for additional fill, thickness of the roof, location and existing integrity of the pillars, etc. It should be understood that the



requirements for stability of a working mine and the stability (factor-of-safety) for underground workings to be built upon are two different cases, so inherently remedial work will be required to enhance any underground workings that are incorporated into the master planned community. The remedial measures considered are; roof reinforcement (via rock bolts and shotcrete cover), need to add additional manufactured pillars, reinforcement of existing pillars, avoidance of the workings (non-structural areas), removal of the workings, and/or filling the workings. It is anticipated that a combination of these conceptual remedial procedures will be utilized.

### **Existing fill/waste rock**

Waste rock from the mining operations exists in fills placed all over the site. It is estimated that these fill range in thickness from a few feet to fills nearing 100 feet in thickness. The fills consist of excavated materials that were not valuable for exploitation and were considered waste rock; and hence, these fills likely consist of limestone, dolomite, shale (clay) and low-grade gypsum. It is anticipated that most of these materials will be low expansive (given their parent material) but it is anticipated that expansive clays are also present. Given the general method of waste rock/fill placement at a mine, it is anticipated that these materials are not yet suitable for the support of surface improvements. Dependent upon final design grades, some of these fills will be removed but most could potentially stay in place. Some of these areas could be designated as non-structural (i.e., parks) but if intended for use as structural fill some or a combination of the following methods will need to be employed to substantiate the use of these uncontrolled fills, as then engineered fills. Basically there are mechanical methods of obtaining adequate fill density, such as dynamic compaction, compaction grouting, etc. Other methods could include moisture conditioning the soil to a saturated level, surcharging the fill, and then monitoring the fill for settlement. Based on field evaluation and laboratory testing; estimates could be given for the amount of water necessary, amount of settlement that would be anticipated (monitored for) and time the surcharge would need to remain in place until adequate consolidation (compaction) had occurred. It is believed that the existing fills can remain in place but they will need to be rehabilitated into useful, engineered fill. As an alternative, potentially deep foundations systems could be utilized in these fills.

### **Groundwater**

Groundwater was identified in the western side of RO-3 by Tullar. As it is today, groundwater is not anticipated to impact development, but in the future, as areas within the master plan mature, groundwater seepage will likely develop, needing to be collected and conveyed to appropriate locations for discharge. Typically the means to remediate this condition is to install subdrain systems; however, no matter how well planned, much of the system would likely never be utilized if installed during initial construction. Typically it is best to wait and identify areas of seepage, and then install specifically designed subdrain systems to address the identified condition.

Because of the incised nature of the onsite natural drainages (canyons), subdrain systems will need to be installed, below the fill and on top of competent material, to collect and convey accumulated groundwater to an appropriate location for discharge.

### **Gypsum**


The soils onsite were identified as maintaining high gypsum contents requiring remedial action involving overexcavation to a minimum depth of 3 feet, when identified; and blending with other material at a 1 to 1 ratio.

### **Fissure Zone and Faulting**

In the URS report two faults were mentioned; 1) the fault mapped at the base of the cliff on the eastern side of the property, and 2) the second fault mentioned was mapped by Converse in a northerly trending direction along a seasonal stream bed located along the western boundary of the property. They also mention other faults, and it is expected that there will be minor faults encountered across the property. These conditions will need to be field mapped.

It is typical that when a fault is identified and determined to be active, movement within the last 11,000 years (Holocene geologic time), that setbacks from the identified fault line are required to be a minimum of 50 feet. Quaternary active fault (movement within the last ~2.6 million years) setbacks shall not be less than five feet for an Occupancy Category IV structure, an R3 occupancy or a multifamily building. The bedrock formations encountered at the site are at least 200 million years old and the alluvium observed on site are up to ~2.6 million years old, the importance of this is that it will aid in the dating of last movement along the faults that encounter or have alluvium overlaying them.







### 3.7 SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY + DRAINAGE ASSESSMENT

Part of the site analysis for the study was a preliminary drainage assessment that was performed. The intent of this study was to determine the existing condition drainage patterns, discharge locations, peak 100-year storm event flow rates, model post-development impacts, address downstream impacts, analyze potential soil erosion, identify potential mitigation measures, and identify key drainage issues.

The study area is located outside of the McCarran Rainfall Area. The adjusted precipitation value for the 100-year storm event was determined to be 3.29 inches. The soils information was gathered from the SCS Soil Survey of the Las Vegas Valley Area.

The site lies within Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSG) 'C' and 'D'. Existing condition weighted curve numbers were calculated based on "desert shrub" land cover in poor condition.

#### Existing Drainage Conditions

The existing drainage condition considers the site in its current state, with portions of the site having been disturbed due to mining activity. These disturbed portions of the site lie within soil type 645 described as "pit, quarry" soils and designated as HSG 'C'. The remaining soil is designated as HSG 'D'. Existing condition weighted curve numbers were calculated based on "desert shrub" land cover in poor condition. Offsite drainage basins consist primarily of undeveloped BLM property. Existing condition flows are summarized in the table below.

Several assumptions were made in the analysis of the conceptual developed condition drainage analysis. The key assumptions are as follows:

As reported, the development of the site will result in an increase in flow to downstream properties. Although in some cases this increase may be negligible, drainage law dictates that development cannot cause negative impacts to downstream property.

It is anticipated that various storm drain conveyance systems will be implemented in the master drainage infrastructure plan. It is further anticipated that many existing gullies will be maintained and served as an integral part of the master drainage infrastructure. Culvert facilities will likely be utilized to convey storm flow below roadways crossing existing gullies.

Based on the pre- and post hydrologic analysis presented above, a 0% to 16% increase in peak storm flow is anticipated at various discharge locations. It is anticipated that a storm water detention facility will be necessary to mitigate the increase.

#### Soil Erosion, Sediment Control & Debris Mitigation

Under existing conditions the project area generally consists of rocky, mountainous terrain with numerous well-defined gullies established between ridges. Approximately 800 acres of the total 2000 acres of project site have been altered from its natural condition due to mining activities. From a surface water runoff perspective, the undisturbed portions of the site are characterized by high runoff potential (low infiltration), rocky terrain resistant to erosion, and high potential for transport of larger rocks and boulders due to steep terrain. In the altered areas, the surface terrain is characterized by a lower runoff potential (higher infiltration) due to scarified surfaces, terrain more susceptible to erosion, and lower

potential for transport of larger rocks and boulders due to the flattened areas impacted by the mining activities.

Since a larger portion of the project site has been altered by mining activities, it is anticipated that development of the project site will serve to better stabilize the area and reduce the potential of soil erosion and/or sediment transport. The following provides a list of benefits the project could provide to reduce soil erosion, sediment transport and debris impacts onsite and offsite; thus improving upon the existing condition.


- Engineered development of roadways, parks, housing developments, etc. inherently provides stabilized surfaces. As much of the erosive terrain altered by the mining activities would be replaced by engineered development, erosion and sediment transport potential would be reduced from the existing condition.
- Stormwater runoff, which is the major contributor to erosion and sediment transport, will be collected, conveyed and discharged in a stabilized manner.
- Stormwater quality facilities, such as debris basins, could be utilized at discharge locations to provide for collection of sediment and other debris prior to downstream release.
- Existing condition peak storm flow rates in existing gullies could be reduced by use of detention basins and/or re-direction of flow resulting in reduced velocities and less erosion potential.
- Maintenance programs can be implemented that would serve to maintain performance of established facilities, and collect and dispose of debris that would otherwise be transported downstream.

## **Conclusions & Recommendations**

The following provides a summary of conclusions and recommendations with regard to project drainage.

- Based on the pre- and post hydrologic analysis, a 0% to 16% increase in peak storm flow is anticipated at various discharge locations.
- It is anticipated that at least one storm water detention facility will be necessary to mitigate the increase.
- In many instances/discharge locations, only small increases in flow are anticipated due to development. To eliminate the need for detention at some discharge locations where small increases occur, a downstream impact approach could be taken. This could entail evaluation of peak flow at a point further downstream and/or evaluation of the existing capacity of existing gullies to accommodate a higher flow.
- Since a large portion of the project site has been altered by mining activities, it is anticipated that development of the project site will serve to better stabilize the area and reduce the potential of soil erosion and/or sediment transport, therefore improving upon the existing condition.





DRAINAGE AND HYDROLOGY MAP  
JUNE 2011

GYPSUM RECLAMATION STUDY

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

© GYPSUM RESOURCES, LLC



### 3.8 VEGETATION

Plant communities found on the study area include Mohave creosote bush scrub, Joshua tree, blackbush, desert wash, and succulent scrub. The Joshua tree is found between elevations 3,600 and 4,200 feet where 8-10 inches of rain fall. The blackbush community occurs above elevation 4,000 feet. The Mojave creosote bush scrub and desert wash scrub communities occur below 4,000 feet and with an annual precipitation of 5 to 8 inches.


The Blue Diamond succulent scrub community has a variety of cactus, yucca, and agave species including beavertail cactus, buckhorn cholla, diamond cholla, golden cholla, barrel cactus, many-headed barrel cactus, saint cactus, pineapple cactus, foxtail cactus, Joshua tree, Mohave yucca, and banana yucca. Most of these plants are protected by the State of Nevada Cactus and Yucca Law and would be salvaged from the site as part of any mining, reclamation, reuse, or development activities.

The Blue Diamond Cholla occupies approximately 312 acres in the southern portion of the study area. Some 83 percent of the habitat is located on BLM lands. The Blue Diamond Cholla is a federally listed protected species.

The location of the Blue Diamond Cholla (*Opuntia whipplei*) was field researched by the Nevada Natural Heritage Program for the US Fish and Wildlife Service in 1992. Their findings were included in GC Wallace's Draft Feasibility Report dated September 2002.

The exhibits of these reports were used to create GIS layer and map showing the location of the Blue Diamond Cholla.





 Blue Diamond Cholla Habitat  
 Red Rock National Conservation Area



CHOLLA HABITAT  
JUNE 2011

GYPSUM RECLAMATION STUDY  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

© GYPSUM RESOURCES, LLC



### 3.9 WILDLIFE

The most frequently observed wildlife on the property and adjacent study areas are black-tailed jackrabbit, desert cottontail, white-tailed antelope squirrel, and burros. Coyotes, mule deer, skunks, raccoons, ground squirrels, pocket mice, kangaroo mice, kangaroo rats, cactus mouse, canyon mouse, southern grasshopper mouse, woodrats, desert iguana, zebra-tailed lizard, desert horned lizard, striped whitesnake, western whipsnake, red coachwhip, desert tortoise, banded Gila Monster, chuckwalla Mojave rattlesnake, and up to twelve bat species *may be* on the study area. Bighorn sheep and wild horses were historically seen on-site, but have not been observed in recent years.

The desert tortoise and Gila Monster are protected species and the burros are protected by the Wild Free-Roaming Horse and Burro Act. The entire study area is within the Red Rock Canyon Herd Management Area. The desert tortoise has been observed on the historic mine access road and the gypsum processing plant area, but has not been observed on the mine property. The Gila Monster is a BLM special status species.

The following wildlife has been observed or are likely to utilize the study area lands:

Birds:	Ash-throated flycatcher, black-chinned hummingbird, black-throated sparrow, blue-grey gnatcatcher, broad-tailed hummingbird, common raven, house finch, mourning dove, phainopepla, pinon jay, rock wren, song sparrow, verdin, and white-throated swift.
Mammals:	White-tailed antelope squirrel, desert cottontail, coyote, desert woodrat, Merriam kangaroo rat, mule deer, bighorn sheep, cougar, kit fox, ringtail, and feral burros.
Reptiles:	Side-blotched lizard and chuckwalla.
Amphibians:	Pacific treefrog and red-spotted toad.

### 3.10 CLIMATE

The regions climate is a subtropical arid climate typical of the Mojave Desert in which it is located. The area enjoys abundant sunshine year-round: it has an average of about 300 sunny days per year with more than 3,800 hours of sunshine.

The summer months of June through September are very hot and mostly dry for the area, with average Las Vegas daytime highs of 99 degrees. In comparison, the average daytime highs for the site are only 82 degrees. The site averages only 6 days per year above 100 degrees, with most of those days occurring in July and August. By contrast, Las Vegas experiences an average of 72 days per year with temperatures above 100 degrees. Humidity is very low, often under 10%.

The winters are of short duration and generally mild, with daytime highs in Las Vegas near 60 °F. The site and the mountains surrounding Las Vegas accumulate snow during the winter, but the average daytime highs are 45 degrees.

Annual precipitation is 5.7 inches per year in comparison to Las Vegas, which experiences roughly 4.5 inches per year of rainfall. Rainfall occurs on average 29 days per year.



The microclimate of the site affords generally comfortable living conditions with the summers being more moderate than the surrounding valley. On average the temperature readings are 10 to 15 degrees cooler than the highs recorded in the Las Vegas valley. The escarpment that frames the easterly edge of the property buffers the winds from the east. The predominate wind direction is from the southwest, 45% of the time, and is characterized by wind speeds ranging from 9 to 15 mph. Strong and persistent winds, generally acknowledged as common in the region, are similar in frequency and intensity for the site.

### 3.11 VIEWSHED ANALYSIS

In an effort to protect the scenic resources of the area and to minimize any impacts to the surrounding viewshed by proposed development, Gypsum Resources undertook a comprehensive analysis of the Study Area's geologic and topographic features. Specific attention was directed to potential viewshed impacts associated with the reclamation plan – development that could be visible to motorists along SR 159 and the Red Rock Scenic Loop, bicyclists, pedestrians, hikers, and visitors to the RRCNCA.

The purpose of the viewshed analysis is to identify lands within the study area that are visible from various locations along SR 159 and along the Red Rock Canyon Scenic Loop within the RRCNCA. The methodology used in this analysis incorporated GIS generated data and ESRI's 3D Analyst computer software. This program created a TIN (Triangulated Irregular Network) surface representing existing terrain. The study area for the Viewshed Analysis covered over 240 square miles. The following geometry was used in the analysis:

- 2-foot contour intervals from photogrammetry were sampled down to 5-foot intervals
- GIS data from Clark County 1996 flight using 5-foot contour intervals
- USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle contours

The Composite Viewshed Analysis was performed using vertices along the polyline representing SR 159 and the Red Rock Loop, with each vertex representing an observation point spaced approximately 90 feet apart. It should also be noted that the park in the Town of Blue Diamond was an additional point identified for this analysis.

The TIN surface is then converted to a raster grid, which created equally sized square areas (cells) covering the entire terrain. An elevation was assigned to each cell, based on the average interpolated elevation of the TIN faces within the cell. A 6-foot vertical offset was applied to each observer point's ground elevation. Each cell that can see the observer point is given a value of 1 and all cells that cannot see the observer point are given a value of 0. The cells with a value of 1, landforms that can be seen from the selected points, are visible as yellow areas on the corresponding maps.

As depicted on the two Composite Viewshed Analysis exhibits, very little of the proposed project site can be seen from the visually sensitive corridors of SR 159 and the Red Rock Canyon Loop.

#### **SR 159 Viewshed Summary**

As shown in the SR 159 Composite Viewshed Analysis, the majority of lands that can be seen from the corridor are located at the edges of the Gypsum Resources properties. Lands that can be seen from this corridor total approximately 688 acres, or 20% of the total 3,466.4 acres referred to as the secondary Study Area or "Project". The majority of the property is hidden from view by an intervening ridge that exists directly to the east of SR 159 for the majority of the scenic corridor. This ridge rises considerably in elevation and effectively screens the property from view.

The southerly parcels located adjacent to SR 159 are clearly visible, due to the close proximity to the roadway, lack of any intervening topographic features, and the general slope characteristics of these parcels. These visible areas account for approximately 273 acres of the total 688 acres of visible lands. These lands have been suggested for possible



transfer from private ownership to public ownership to ensure permanent open space designation.

The southwestern facing slopes, consisting of ridgelines that fall in a southwesterly direction, are also visible from the identified points along the SR 159 corridor. These highly visible ridgelines effectively block views to the properties at higher elevations. These visible ridgelines account for approximately 126 acres of the total 688 acres of visible lands. The majority of these lands have been suggested for possible transfer from private ownership to public ownership to ensure permanent open space designation.

The third area of high visual significance within the Gypsum Resources property is commonly referred to as the “Margo Claim”. These lands are located in the northernmost areas of the property, are more gently sloping, rising in elevation from the north to the south. From a section of SR 159, approximately ¼ mile in length, several areas of the Margo Claim can be seen. While this is a relatively small portion (1/4 mile) of the overall length of the scenic corridor, nonetheless it is a sensitive viewshed. These visible areas account for approximately 128 acres of the total 688 acres of visible lands. These lands have been suggested for possible transfer from private ownership to public ownership to ensure permanent open space designation.


Other, isolated portions of the property are visible from the SR 159 corridor. These lands are typically the tops of ridgelines or tailings from the mining operations. These areas total approximately 161 acres of the total 688 acres of lands visible along the corridor.

In summary, the analysis clearly shows that the majority of areas within the project can be developed without compromising the viewshed along SR 159, the Town of Blue Diamond, and the Red Rock Loop. Most importantly, the proposed “Community Core” is located in an area of the site that is almost completely out of public view from these vantage points. This siting of the Community Core ensures that the development zone with the highest concentration of structures and intensity will not detract from the scenic quality of the adjacent lands. The viewshed analysis has also confirmed the appropriate siting of the lowest density/intensity uses along the project edges and further supports the concept of land transfer to public open space for those areas most visible from the scenic ways.


### **Red Rock Canyon Scenic Loop Viewshed Summary**

As shown in the Red Rock Canyon Scenic Loop Composite Viewshed Analysis, the majority of lands that can be seen from the corridor are located at the northeastern edges of the Study Area and Gypsum Resources properties. Lands that can be seen from this corridor total approximately 507 acres, or 14% of the total 3,466.4 acres referred to as the secondary Study Area or “Project”. The vast majority of the lands seen from the Red Rock Canyon Scenic Loop are referred to as the “Margo Claim” lands. In large part due to this viewshed exposure these lands have been suggested for transfer from private ownership to public ownership in order to ensure preservation of this scenic corridor.











**VIEWPOINT 1: INTERSECTION OF SR-160/SR-159**




**VIEWPOINT 4: BLUE DIAMOND PARK**







**VIEWPOINT 6: BONNIE SPRINGS ROAD**



**VIEWPOINT 8: FIRST CREEK TRAIL**




**VIEWPOINT 9: SCENIC LOOP DRIVE**




**VIEWPOINT 11: PICNIC AREA**











# Blue Diamond Hill Community Facilities Map


-  Police Stations


 Fire Stations

 Parks

 Schools

 Future Parks

 Libraries

 Subject Site

### Police Stations:


- 1 INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES DIV.
- 2 SE SUBSTATION - LVMPD
- 3 FINGERPRINT BUREAU - LVMPD
- 4 SOUTH CENTRAL STATION - LVMPD
- 5 NEVADA HIGHWAY PATROL OFFICE
- 6 ENTERPRISE AREA COMMAND - LVMPD

### Parks:

- |                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 AnSan Sister City Park (CLV) | 28 Future Park                            |
| 2 W. Charleston Lions (CLV)    | 29 Exploration Peak                       |
| 3 Cragin Park (CLV)            | 30 Prosperity Park                        |
| 4 Gary Dexter Park (CLV)       | 31 Arden Maint. Shop Park                 |
| 5 Rotary Park (CLV)            | 32 Red Ridge Park                         |
| 6 All American Park (CLV)      | 33 Decatur & Tropicana Park               |
| 7 Bob Baskin Park (CLV)        | 34 Paul Meyer Park                        |
| 8 Firefighters Memorial (CLV)  | 35 Old Spanish Trail Park                 |
| 9 Rainbow Family Park (CLV)    | 36 Spring Valley Comm. Park               |
| 10 Willows Park                | 37 Mesa Park                              |
| 11 Ravenwood Park              | 38 So. Highlands Sports Park              |
| 12 Spotted Leaf Park           | 39 Goett Family Park                      |
| 13 Blue Diamond Park           | 40 Guinn MS Pk                            |
| 14 W Flamingo Pk               | 41 Cashman MS Park                        |
| 15 Laurelwood Park             | 42 Clarence Ray Memorial Park (CLV)       |
| 16 Desert Breeze Park          | 43 Kellogg - Zaher Sports Complex (CLV)   |
| 17 Somerset Hills Park         | 44 Lorenzi Park (CLV)                     |
| 18 Davis Park                  | 45 Tenaya Neighborhood Park (CLV)         |
| 19 Nevada Trails Park          | 46 Veteran's Memorial Ball Fields (CLV)   |
| 20 Gardens Park                | 47 Charleston Neigh. Preserv. Park (CLV)  |
| 21 Ridgebrook Park             | 48 Mirabelli Park (CLV)                   |
| 22 Community Park              | 49 Angel Park (CLV)                       |
| 23 Inzalaco Park               | 50 Charleston Heights Preserv. Park (CLV) |
| 24 Village Green Park          | 51 Gibson Leisure Center (CLV)            |
| 25 Potosi Park                 | 52 Silverado Ranch Park                   |
| 26 Western Trails Park         | 53 Stonewater Park                        |
| 27 Future Park                 |   |




November 9, 2010



This map is based on public information made available through GIS resources. This exhibit is for informational purposes only. No liability is assumed for the accuracy of the data contained herein. Readers are advised to validate this information with the appropriate agencies.

K.N.M.

R:\GISPROJ\004\004001\gypsum\_facilab.mxd



### Schools (Public & Private):

- |                                |                                   |  |                                       |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1 FRANK F GARSIDE MIDDLE SCH   | 20 ELAINE WYNN ELEM SCH           | 39 VICTORIA FERTITTA MIDDLE SCH          | 58 OUR LADY OF LAS VEGAS CATHOLIC SCH |
| 2 WALTER JOHNSON MIDDLE SCH    | 21 DVORRE AND HAL OBER ELEM SCH   | 40 DURANGO HIGH SCH                      | 59 TRINITY UNITED METHODIST           |
| 3 E W GRIFFITH ELEM SCH        | 22 JAMES E CASHMAN MIDDLE SCH     | 41 LUCILLE S ROGERS ELEM SCH             | 60 LAS VEGAS JR. ACADEMY              |
| 4 HELEN M SMITH ELEM SCH       | 23 GOOLSBY ELEM SCH               | 42 WAYNE N TANAKA ELEM SCH               | 61 SPRING VALLEY CHRISTIAN ACADEMY    |
| 5 RED ROCK ELEM SCH            | 24 PATRICA A BENDORF ELEM SCH     | 43 TONY ALAMO ELEM SCH                   | 62 MABEL HOGGARD PRIME6 SCH           |
| 6 GIVENS ELEM SCH              | 25 KEITH C & KAREN HAYES ELEM SCH | 44 SIERRA VISTA HIGH SCH                 | 63 ROBERT O GIBSON MIDDLE SCH         |
| 7 ROSE WARREN ELEM SCH         | 26 SPRING VALLEY HIGH SCH         | 45 LAWRENCE & HEIDI CANARELLI MIDDLE SCH | 64 JOHN W BONNER ELEM SCH             |
| 8 WALTER JACOBSON ELEM SCH     | 27 ROGER M BRYAN ELEM SCH         | 46 RIES ELEM SCH                         | 65 VAIL PITTMAN ELEM SCH              |
| 9 HOWARD A WASDEN ELEM SCH     | 28 C H DECKER ELEM SCH            | 47 CHARLES & PHYLIS FRIAS ELEM SCH       | 66 WESTERN HIGH SCH                   |
| 10 HYDE PARK MIDDLE SCH        | 29 KENNY C GUINN MIDDLE SCH       | 48 DR C OWEN ROUNDY ELEM SCH             | 67 RUTH FYFE ELEM SCH                 |
| 11 BONANZA HIGH SCH            | 30 P A DISKIN ELEM SCH            | 49 KATHY L BATTERMAN ELEM SCH            | 68 ROGICH MIDDLE SCH                  |
| 12 CLARENCE A PIGGOTT ELEM SCH | 31 CLIFFORD J LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCH | 50 WILBUR & THERESA FAISS MIDDLE SCH     | 69 PALO VERDE HIGH SCH                |
| 13 DORIS HANCOCK ELEM SCH      | 32 BURK SPECIAL SCH               | 51 LOIS & JERRY TARKANIAN MIDDLE SCH     | 70 O K ADCOCK ELEM SCH                |
| 14 VEGAS VERDES ELEM SCH       | 33 THIRIOT ELEM SCH               | 52 CAROLYN S REEDOM ELEM SCH             | 71 JOHN C BASS ELEM SCH               |
| 15 HERBERT A DERFELT ELEM SCH  | 34 HARVEY N DONDERO ELEM SCH      | 53 DESERT OASIS HIGH SCH                 | 72 ST. FRANCIS DE SALES ELEMENTARY    |
| 16 REX BELL ELEM SCH           | 35 FRANK KIM ELEM SCH             | 54 BLUE DIAMOND ELEM SCH                 | 73 ALL SAINTS EPISCOPAL               |
| 17 GUILD R GRAY ELEM SCH       | 36 MARION B EARL ELEM SCH         | 55 ROBERT L FORBUSS YR SCH               | 74 FIRST CHRISTIAN CHILD DEV          |
| 18 M J CHRISTENSEN ELEM SCH    | 37 HELEN JYDSTRUP ELEM SCH        | 56 WILLIAM V WRIGHT ELEM SCH             | 75 FIRST PRESBYTERIAN ACADEMY         |
| 19 ED W CLARK HIGH SCH         | 38 GRANT SAWYER MIDDLE SCH        | 57 JUDITH D STEELE ELEM SCH              |                                       |

### Libraries:

- 1 WEST CHARLESTON LIBRARY - CC
- 2 SAHARA WEST LIBRARY & FINE ARTS MUSEUM - CC
- 3 SPRING VALLEY LIBRARY - CC
- 4 MEADOWS VILLAGE LIBRARY
- 5 ENTERPRISE LIBRARY - CC




### 3.13 CONSTRAINTS COMPOSITE + DEVELOPMENT SUITABILITY

The Gypsum Resources Reclamation Concept Plan was informed by a composite analysis of the GIS resource mapping, viewshed analysis, background reports, and field studies of the various project consultants.

From this analysis, along with project issues identified through the public outreach programs, a preliminary constraints composite was produced. The composite analysis is an initial summary of the physical factors that influence the planning process. These factors include drainage, slope, aspect, elevation, viewshed, skyline, vegetation, and areas impacted by the mining activities.

This analysis informs the planning process, location of uses, intensity and density of those uses, and the general characteristics of community design. The analysis identified four areas:

- |   |                                      |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Least Constrained (most developable) |
| 2 | Minor Constraints                    |
| 3 | Moderately Constrained               |
| 4 | Most Constrained (least developable) |





## 4.0 COMMUNITY PLAN





#### **4.1 STUDY AREA**

For purposes of the Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan, a Primary Study Area has been designated and totals approximately 5,830 acres. A subset of the Study Area is the property owned by Gypsum Resources, L.L.C. totaling approximately 2,464 acres.


A secondary Study Area (Project) of approximately 3,466 acres has been delineated that includes lands owned by Gypsum Resources and BLM. The purpose of defining this secondary Study Area is to analyze and evaluate alternate concepts plans and potential land transfer options. The lands identified within the secondary Study Area are comprised of lands identified for potential transfer from private to public, public to private, and lands owned by Gypsum Resources.

This Study Area has been identified to analyze and evaluate the impacts associated with the Gypsum Reclamation Plan proposal beyond the boundaries of Gypsum Resources lands and to establish a comprehensive plan for those lands surrounding the reclamation plan.











## 4.2 CONCEPT PLAN OVERVIEW

The Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan is designed to exemplify the values and best practices of environmentally sensitive, resource efficient, socially responsible, and economically sustainable community building.

In keeping with the intent of the Major Projects process, a community design framework plan has been developed to describe the land use, open space, and circulation relationships of the plan. The framework plan is useful in establishing the conceptual community character, land use, circulation, and infrastructure. The intent of the conceptual framework plan is to create an attractive and cohesive community. The design framework is intended to guide future more specific studies and planning efforts towards a reclamation plan that embraces the scenic beauty and natural resources of the site. Additionally, the framework plan will provide residents and visitors with an experience consistent with the Gypsum Reclamation Plan vision.

As described in previous sections, several planning goals, objectives and principles have been established for the Gypsum Reclamation Plan. The following sections describe the organizing principles, land use program, circulation, access, and general characteristics of the proposed Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan.

A process that balances the existing qualities of the land with the human forces and needs best guides creating places for human communities. Capturing the site's enduring connection with the natural surroundings is the fundamental planning and design principle that has guided the Concept Plan. The realities of the current condition of the site provide an opportunity to implement a reclamation plan that serves a wide-variety of community needs.

### Character Zones

Three primary areas of the study area have been identified; core zone, general zone, and edge zone. Each of these zones has characteristics that will influence the ultimate design and development patterns of the community.

Based on the developability analysis, the project area has been organized into four distinct character zones. These zones have guided the land use distribution of the Concept Plan and are intended to guide future planning and design efforts in defining specific land use, character, image, intensity, and density.

#### Core Zone

The "Core" zone is located in the central portions of the property. These areas have been characterized as the most impacted by the historic mining operations, are generally flat, and are almost entirely out of the sensitive viewshed. The Core zone is proposed as a mixed-use area and is where the Community Core is proposed. The Core Zone is proposed to incorporate the largest mix of land uses and the highest average intensity within the community plan.

#### General Zone

The "General" Zone is characterized geographically as the areas directly adjacent to the Core Zone, moderately impacted by the mining operations, gradual slope, and low or no



vegetative communities. These areas would predominately be comprised of residential, and various densities, community facilities, educational, open space, and recreational uses.

### **Edge Zone**

The “Edge” zones are areas located at the edges of the planning area, adjacent to natural open space and are characterized by steeper topography, little or no impacts by the historical mining operations, and have moderate to high levels of viewshed exposure from sensitive lands. The Edge Zone areas would be primarily low to rural density residential with supporting public facilities, open space, and recreational facilities. The Edge Zone is the lowest density/intensity development areas within the community plan.

### **Open Space**

The Open Space zones are sensitive natural areas designated for the Open Lands land use category. These areas are the most sensitive lands and have been identified as valuable open space resource. These areas also have high levels of viewshed exposure from identified sensitive areas. The Open Space Areas are proposed to be primarily Open Lands land use category.

### **Planning Areas + Villages**

The Concept Plan is organized as a series of planning areas or villages. Each planning area is specifically programmed and designed to fit within the context of the overall characteristics of the place. Regardless of the land use, intensity or density, the Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan is based on the tangible connection of development on the site to the area’s underlying character. The Concept Plan fuses connected and diverse community forms into a development character that is defined by an array of natural patterning. Existing landforms (natural and man-made), washes, ridges, view corridors, and gradient provide breaks in development patterns, edges, and interest. Each village is envisioned to incorporate a variety of land uses, housing types, densities, character and image types, open space and recreational facilities, and community services.

Generally, the Concept Plan can be summarized in the following concepts:

#### **Community Core**

Strategically located in the geographical and elevation center of the plan, the proposed Community Core is envisioned as a dynamic mixed-use hub for employment, education, shopping, dining, entertainment, hospitality, and unique housing opportunities. In part due to the fact that this area has already been graded flat, the Community Core will be a highly pedestrian-oriented district. The Community Core will serve as the center for community gathering for the entire community as well as the greater west Las Vegas communities. At the heart of the Community Core will be the central park or square. Other recreational facilities include the town park, active and passive parks and open space as well as linear parks and trails.

#### **Research + Technology + Educational Institutions**

These areas, or districts are located in close proximity to the Community Core and provide the economic and educational foundation for the community. These special use areas are located primarily within the central portions of the project and on lands previously impacted



by the mining operations. A diverse mix of uses are proposed within these areas to support the primary land uses such as recreational facilities, open space, and public facilities.

### **Residential Villages**


A series of residential villages, or districts are located within the community plan providing opportunities for a wide variety of home type, density, configuration, and styles. Each of these villages is anticipated to meet the market demands for a broad spectrum of segments and demographics. Each residential village is organized around a centralized open space feature, recreational facility, and community facility. The villages adjacent to the community core and special use districts are proposed as medium density, while the edge villages would be comprised of the lowest density, largest lot residential.

Major Points of the Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan are:

- Primary access from the east, no primary access from SR 159
- Potential land transfer preserves most sensitive areas
- Creates public access through trails, open space, parks, recreation, educational facilities, and interpretive center
- Locates mixed-use community core in the center of the property, on lands most impacted by mining activities and in the least sensitive areas
- Locates the lowest density/rural residential at the edges
- Provides a centralized, active use park at the core of the community
- Provides a broad diversity of parks, open space and recreation
- An extensive trail system that provides access through the community and connects uses and neighborhoods
- Includes a wide variety of land uses, residential types, and community facilities
- Creates a series of “village cores” organized around a park, recreational element, and/or community facility

The project will be designed and constructed to meet the stringent requirements prescribed by the governing agencies, including; Clark County Sanitation District, Nevada Division of Water Resources, Clark County Health District, Clark County Regional Flood Control District and Clark County Public Works.








### **4.3 SENSITIVE LANDS + LAND TRANSFER**

The Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan contemplates a land transfer between the BLM and another entity yet to be defined to facilitate the preservation of the most sensitive natural lands. The methods, transaction process, exact acreage, and timing will be defined in subsequent phases of planning and entitlement.

Gypsum Resources will work with Clark County, the BLM, and community stakeholders to identify sensitive lands that would be potential transfer properties for consideration. The primary goal in proposing a land transfer is to preserve the most sensitive (visual, vegetation, slope, etc.) and to protect the visual integrity of the Conservation Area, Red Rock and Blue Diamond.

Gypsum Resources has introduced the land transfer with the BLM in an informal setting and will file applications for the transfer with the support of Clark County and Community Stakeholders.







#### 4.4 PLANNING PRINCIPLES + PROGRAMMATIC GOALS

##### Create a “Complete Community”

- Create a dynamic, multi-functional, supportive, and multi-generational community that emphasizes neighborliness and evokes a strong “pride of place”.
- Establish a multi-dimensional, sustainable, human community within a harmonious and balanced built environment.
- Create a feasible development plan that will repair the damage done to the property by the mining activities
- The measure will be sustainability of the Social, Environmental, and Economic systems.
- Ensure the long-term management of the property and its resources; contribute to the long-term management of the surrounding natural resources.
- Respect the needs and interests of the surrounding communities, the RRCNCA, Clark County, and the region.
- Address a wide range of community needs such as open space, conservation, sustainability, housing diversity, job creation, and education.
- Actively develop strategies and programs that lead to creating an integrative, inclusive, and environmentally responsive development.
- Emphasize a process of planning and design that aims not merely to protect the land in its current state, but to fulfill a wide range of other objectives and opportunities.
- Adhere to a planning process that is based on a rigorous analysis of the natural systems present on the site
- Seek to foster community within the context of a comprehensive and inclusive public outreach process.
- Ensure that the process and the project reflects Clark County’s long-term commitment to envision, establish, and maintain high-quality communities

##### Redevelopment Stewardship

- Reclaim and develop the former mining site in a prudent manner that responds to the needs of the community; local, county, state, federal agencies and private owners consistent with; sound, fiscally sustainable business practices

##### Site Integrity

- The site’s proximity to one of the nations most beautiful and treasured natural environments provides unique opportunities, challenges, and inherent responsibilities – celebrate the unique qualities of this place



- The planning process and development of the project program, uses, design guidelines, and builtscapes will respond to this unique setting
- The potential exists to set the standard and create new models for the development of new human communities and their relationships with the natural environment
- Implement the most advanced models of development including such practices as community-wide water conservation programs, low-impact design engineering, renewable energy generation, and green building.
- Explore and evaluate potential BLM land exchange opportunities, or other conservation measures, with the goal to preserve lands inside the RRCNCA and other sensitive lands as open space in perpetuity
- Establish and implement a public outreach program and dynamic planning process that overcomes the compartmentalized approach of conventional methods
- Focus on an integrated, holistic approach to problem solving

### **Economic Development**

- Emphasize the unique and special attributes of the land and its location in identifying economic development opportunities (R&D, business, education, medical, etc.)
- Emphasize “green” technologies, sustainability industries
- Attract uses that benefit the regional and local economy
- Create a development plan that generates enough revenue to fund the reclamation of the mining impacts, infrastructure improvements and maintenance, and public services
- Create a plan and management program that ensures public benefit in the forms of open space and recreational uses, public access, civic uses, education, and interpretive programs

### **Lifelong Learning**

- Create and implement a community model that emphasizes lifelong learning and education
- Stimulate learning and discovery

### **Traffic and Mobility**

- Create and sustain a comprehensive transportation system – interconnected roads, trails, and paths
- Create a community fabric that minimizes traffic, reliance on the automobile, and carbon emissions
- Create a circulation system that minimizes traffic impacts on adjacent communities



- Create opportunities for public access and mobility within and through the site
- Create a comprehensive system of parks, trails, and open space that connects neighborhoods and uses

### **Open Space**

- Create a community open space network that is responsive to the desert environment
- Provide natural, active, and passive open space and recreational uses
- Create public access opportunities
- Create an open space system that encourages accessibility, amenities, education, and preservation of highly sensitive areas

### **Land Use**

- Program land uses and intensities in response to adjacent communities and open spaces
- Promote economic development; emphasize the diversification of the region's economy
- Create a "community core" that serves as the heart and soul of the place and provides a broad spectrum of community needs (recreation, services, education, research, health and wellness, and housing types).
- Create opportunities for a wide diversity of educational facilities including higher education.
- Residential development should be programmed and designed in such a way as to include a broad diversity of home types, density ranges, sizes, and pricing levels.

### **Community Character**

- Development of the site should reflect the rural and rustic characteristics of the area.
- Design and development standards should emphasize environmental compatibility.
- Minimize offsite viewshed impacts by locating the majority of development out of critical view paths, implementing design criteria that emphasize natural color and materials, and imposing "dark skies" lighting restrictions.
- Community design should be attractive, high quality, and timeless.
- Emphasize a diversity of form, character, materials, and color.
- Orient roads, open space corridors, and development patterns towards natural features and views.
- Create a vibrant community core.



#### 4.5 PROPOSED LAND USES

The Concept Plan proposes a wide variety of land uses supporting the “complete community” concept. The land uses proposed are based on the Enterprise Land Use Plan of Clark County.

Proposed land uses include the following:

- Rural Neighborhood (RN)
- Residential Low (RL)
- Residential Suburban (RS)
- Residential Medium (RM)
- Mixed-Use Development (MUD)
- Office Professional (OP)
- Commercial Neighborhood (CN)
- Business and Design Research Park (BDRP)
- Public Facilities (PF)

These land use categories correspond to the Clark County Land Use Plan and are general categories of planned land uses. Each category includes a variety of zoning districts and a range of intensities and densities of uses. The following provides a description of each as defined in the Enterprise Land Use Plan.

Land Use	Percent of Total Area
Residential	64%-73%
Education/Campus	4%-8%
Mixed-Use/Commercial/ Employment	5%-10%
Civic	3%
Roadways	7%
Program Open Space	8%



## Land Use Categories – Residential

The following summarizes the various residential categories as proposed in the Gypsum Reclamation Plan. The residential categories listed are based on those cited in the Enterprise Land Use Plan approved in 1999 and located within Clark County.

The residential categories are intended to fulfill the goals and objectives outlined in the vision and provide a wide diversity of home type and configuration.

The residential land use designations are general categories of planned uses. Each category has a range of densities and residential configurations. These designations do not guarantee that a specific parcel will be approved for a particular zoning classification or density in future entitlement stages.

Of the total primary Study Area of approximately 3,466.1 acres, approximately 1,916.5 acres has been designated as residential uses. Within the residential categories, a broad mix of home types is proposed including rural lots, estate lots, resort residential, single family detached at various lot sizes, single family attached, cluster, and live/work supporting a wide variety of income levels, family types, and age groups.

Additional land uses anticipated in the residential areas include: schools, parks, recreational facilities, place of worship, and public facilities. Village retail/service areas of 5 acres or less may also be located within the residential areas to allow greater access by residents to daily needs and services.

All residential categories allow for a range of densities.

The following residential land use categories are proposed:

### **RN – Rural Neighborhood (up to 2 du/ac, up to 2.5 du/ac with an approved PUD)**

The Rural Neighborhood category allows a maximum of 2 dwelling units per gross acre. The predominant housing type in Rural Neighborhood is detached single-family residential development at low densities. Multiple family dwellings are not appropriate. Local supporting public facility uses are also allowed in this category with appropriate buffering and setbacks.

The category also includes the following zoning districts: Rural Open Land (R-U), Residential Agricultural (R-A), Rural Estates Residential (R-E), and Public Facility (P-F).

The Rural Neighborhood land use category is the lowest residential land use category proposed and generally located at the edges of the community providing a low intensity transitional land use buffer to the natural open spaces that surround the project. Factors that determine the location of this residential category include adjacency to sensitive natural lands, viewshed exposure, topography, and distance from the community core.

The average residential gross density for areas defined as Rural Neighborhood will not exceed 2 dwelling units per acre.

### **RL – Residential Low (up to 3.5 du/ac)**

Residential Low allows a maximum of 3.5 dwelling units per gross acre. Public infrastructure and service availability affect the intensity and density within this category. The predominant housing type in Residential Low is single-family detached development. Multiple family dwelling units are not



appropriate. Local supporting public facility uses are also allowed in the category with appropriate buffering and setbacks.

This category includes the following zoning districts: Rural Open Land (R-U), Residential Agricultural (R-A), Rural Estates Residential (R-E), Suburban Estates Residential (R-D), Suburban Estates Residential PUD (R-D PUD), and Public Facility (P-F).

The Residential Medium land use category is general located in the general and edge zones of the project.

The average residential density for areas defined as Residential Low will not exceed 3.5 dwelling units per gross acre.

### **RS – Residential Suburban (up to 8du/ac)**

The Residential Suburban category allows a maximum of 8 dwelling units per gross acre. Public infrastructure and service availability affect the intensity and density within this category. The predominant housing type in Residential Suburban is single-family residential detached development. Multiple family dwelling units are not appropriate. Local supporting public facility uses are also allowed in this category with appropriate buffering and setbacks.

This category includes the following zoning districts: Rural Open Land (R-U), Residential Agricultural (R-A), Suburban Estates Residential (R-D), Rural Estates Residential ((R-E), Single Family Residential (R-1), Medium Density Residential (R-2), and Public Facility (P-F).

The Residential Suburban land use category is general located in the general zones of the project.

The average residential density for areas defined as Residential Suburban will not exceed 8 dwelling units per gross acre.

### **RM – Residential Medium (from 3du/ac to 14 du/ac, up to 16 du/ac with approved PUD)**

Residential Medium category permits a range from 3 dwelling units per gross acre up to 14 dwelling units per gross acre. The Residential Medium category allows for single-family uses and planned unit developments. It is appropriate for single family attached, but not multiple family housing. Local supporting uses are also allowed in this category with appropriate buffering and setbacks.

This category includes the following zoning districts: Suburban Estates Residential (R-D), Single Family Residential (R-1), Medium Density Residential (R-2), Residential Urban District (RUD), and Public Facility (P-F).

The Residential Medium land use category is general located in the core and general zones of the project.

The average residential gross density for areas defined as Residential Medium will not exceed 15 dwelling units per acre.

### **Land Use Categories – Non-Residential**

To support the proposed vision for the Planning Area, it is essential to incorporate a broad range of land use types and community support uses in the Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan. The following describes the proposed non-residential land uses .



## **MUD – Mixed-Use Development**

The purpose of the Mixed Use category is to encourage a diversity of compatible land uses, including a mixture of residential with commercial, office, educational, institutional and other appropriate uses. The MUD designation provides a mechanism to encourage new housing and innovative design that is less dependent on automobile transit. The MUD category is intended to create and sustain pedestrian oriented neighborhoods where local residents have convenient access to jobs, schools, shops, public facilities, transit and various services.

Approximately 94 acres of the overall project proposal consist of Mixed-Use that will create a vibrant community core.

The proposed Mixed-Use zone is located within the geographic center of the property and predominately out of the sensitive viewshed of surrounding natural areas and existing communities. The Mixed-Use zone is intended to become the “heart and soul” of the community emphasizing a broad mix of land uses, building types, services, community facilities, recreation, open space, and residential types. It is designed to allow residents and visitors access to employment, retail, services, educational resources, and recreational facilities. Placemaking principles will be applied to this zone including the creation of public and civic spaces; building organized and designed to create a strong public realm, and the design of streets for people as well as automobiles.

Uses anticipated for the Mixed-Use zone include commercial and civic/institutional space for private business and non-profit entities (e.g. schools, cafes, post office, wellness/health centers, day care, spiritual center, community meeting space, performing arts theatre, amphitheater, interpretive centers, fire and police stations, library, environmental center for study, playing fields, interpretive gardens, and indoor and outdoor recreation facilities). Other commercial uses associated with this area will include restaurants, bars, financial institutions, coffee shops, galleries, art studios, personal service, live work and home-based business, and professional offices.

## **OP – Office Professional**

The Office Professional category applies to areas where the primary uses are low intensity business and professional services and accessory service uses. With appropriate mitigation and design criteria, this category may provide a good buffer between higher intensity land uses and residential land uses. Typical uses include offices where medical, legal, financial, day care services and other business/professional services are performed. Accessory commercial uses are appropriate when associated with the principle use. Local supporting public facility uses are also allowed in this category with appropriate buffering and setbacks.

The category includes the following zoning districts: Commercial Residential Transitional (CRT), Office Professional (C-P), and Public Facility (P-F).

Up to 88.5 acres of Office Professional is proposed.

## **CN – Commercial Neighborhood**

The Commercial Neighborhood category allows low to medium intensity retail and service commercial uses that serves primarily local area patrons, and do not include more intense general commercial characteristics. Examples include neighborhood shopping centers, banks, restaurants, hardware stores, and other similar retail and service uses. Developments should be sized to fit the surrounding neighborhood. This category also includes offices either singly or grouped as office centers with professional and business services. Local supporting public facility uses are also allowed



in this category with appropriate buffering and setbacks. Commercial Neighborhood uses should be developed in nodes or centers and not configured in a “strip commercial” pattern.

The category includes the following zoning districts: Commercial Residential Transitional (CRT), Office and Professional (C-P), Local Business (C-1), and Public Facility (P-F).

Approximately 20 acres of land is proposed as Commercial Neighborhood.

### **CT – Commercial Tourist**

The Commercial Tourist category designates areas for commercial establishments that primarily cater to tourists. The predominate land uses include resorts, hotels, time-shared condominiums, and resort residential.

The category includes the following districts: Commercial Residential Transitional (CRT), Office and Professional (C-P), Local Business (C-1), General Commercial (C-2), Limited Resort and Apartment (H-1), and Public Facility (PF).

The Commercial Tourist land use is a critical component to the achieving the overall vision of the Gypsum Reclamation Plan. Given the location and natural setting it is envisioned that the property could support a destination resort(s) of various types and configurations. Anticipated resort types include “purpose driven” resort, spa resort, destination resort, Eco resort, medical care and recovery, etc.

Approximately 47 acres has been allocated to the Commercial Tourist land use category.

### **IND – Industrial**

The Industrial category applies to area of industrial use and provides areas for new and existing industrial development in proximity to major transportation facilities. These uses should be reviewed for safety and aesthetic reasons when they adjoin other uses. Public facility uses are also allowed also allowed in this category with appropriate buffering and setbacks.

The category includes the following zoning districts: Designed Manufacturing (M – D), Light Industrial and Public Facility (P – F).

Approximately 40 Acres is proposed for the Industrial land use category.

### **BDRP – Business and Design/Research Park**

The Business and Design/Research Park category applies to areas where commercial, professional or manufacturing developments are designed to insure minimal impact on surrounding areas. Major uses in this category include research and development, incubator businesses, food sales and distribution, postal and data processing centers, vehicle sales and repair (inside), and general non-hazardous warehousing. Public facility uses are also allowed in this category with appropriate buffering and setbacks.

The category includes the following zoning districts: Office and Professional (C-P), Local Business (C-1), General Commercial (C-2), Designed Manufacturing (M-D), and Public Facility (P-F).

Up to 193 acres of the property has been planned for Business and Design/Research Park land uses.




**PF – Public Facilities**

The Public Facilities category allows public and private parks and recreational areas such as trails and easements; drainage ways and detention basins; storm water control facilities; and any large areas of permanent open land. Public Facilities include governmental building sites and complexes, police and fire facilities, non-commercial hospitals and rehabilitation sites, schools, and other uses considered public and quasi public such as libraries, clubs, religious facilities, and other public utility facilities.

Public utility facilities including but not limited to reservoirs, lift stations, pump houses, electrical substations, maintenance facilities and like uses may be located within any of the described land use categories with a Special Use Permit.

Zoning districts included in this category are: Public Facility (P-F).







## LAND USE SUMMARY

### GYPSUM RECLAMATION STUDY

28-Jun-11

#### Concept Plan Summary

Zoning District	Designation	Max Density	Max Density w/ PUD	Actual Density	Acreage	% of Total Acreage	Proposed Total Units		
Rural Neighborhood	RN	2.0	2.5	1.5	535.3	15.4%	803		
Residential Low	RL	3.5	N/A	3.0	968.6	27.9%	2,900		
Residential Suburban	RS	8.0	10.0	6.2	436.4	12.6%	2,726		
Residential Medium	RM	14.0	16.0	15.0	46.0	1.3%	690		
Subtotal Residential					1,986.3	57.3%	7,119		
Mixed-Use Development	MUD				91.0	2.6%	150		
Office Professional	OP				88.5	2.6%			
Commercial Neighborhood	CN				20.0	0.6%			
Commercial Tourist	CT				46.0	1.3%			
Industrial	IND			40	1.2%				
Business and Design/Research Park	BDRP			193	5.6%				
Public Facilities	PF			246.8	7.1%				
Open Lands (preserve)	OL				754.8	21.8%			
Project Totals (% of Project Area)				2.10		3,466.4	100.0%		7,269
Project Totals (% of Owned Lands)				2.95		2,464.0	100.0%		7,269

#### Notes:

1. Schools total 123 acres (2 elem., 1 elem/middle, 1 K-12).
2. BDRP consists of a university campus along with associated R&D.
3. Commercial Tourist is intended as "Purpose Driven" Resort, Destination Resort, Spa Resort, Eco Resort, etc.
4. Public Facilities includes parks, recreational areas, trails, drainageways, easements, governmental buildings, police and worship, fire facilities, schools, libraries, places of and other utility facilities.
5. Total acreage assumes transfer of identified BLM lands to private ownership and transfer of sensitive private lands to public ownership for preservation.
6. Densities are "gross" densities.



## 4.6 CIRCULATION + MOBILITY

The proposed circulation framework plan creates a connective fabric that links together many of the plan's major elements, development and open space. Given the project's emphasis on environmental responsiveness and livability, a comprehensive system of roadways and active trail system is proposed. This system of connectivity is one of the cornerstone elements of the community structure. This comprehensive, hierarchal system will allow access to each of the project's uses, amenities, and neighborhoods.

The roadway system is planned to conform to the site's topography and complimentary to the open space system. The circulation system will consist of a wide variety of road types ensuring efficient and connected circulation throughout the community. An interconnected pedestrian and bicycle path will also be established throughout the community. This system of pathways and trails will provide both functional connectivity as well as opportunities for residents and visitors to enjoy the parks and recreational features and the natural open space resource.

### Primary Project Access


One of the primary issues facing the area is the continuing increasing traffic volume on SR 159 and the impact of traffic on the RRCNCA environment and experience. The continued growth of the area, along with anticipated growth of the region will no doubt continue to put pressure on SR 159. For these reasons and to preserve the quality of life for the surrounding communities, primary access to the project will be from the east. There will be no primary access to SR 159.

Throughout the Public Outreach Process several alternative alignments for the primary access road were studied. A preliminary traffic/access study was prepared by Kimley-Horn identifying the various alignments and the carrying capacity of those roadways. The traffic dynamics in the area have changed considerably over the last few years and it is anticipated that future growth will continue to change the character of the area. For these reasons and others, three separate access alignment alternatives were evaluated and shared with the public and governmental agencies. Some of these studies mirrored previous regional transportation studies prepared by NDOT and included a "west loop bypass" that ultimately connected to the existing arterial roadway systems located in Summerlin South. These alternatives may serve to reduce the pressure of traffic congestion on SR 159 in the long term. However, through the Public Outreach Process several concerns were identified and ultimately it was agreed that this project proposal would focus on an access road connecting directly to Blue Diamond Road (SR 160).

An intersection will be developed at Blue Diamond Road (SR 160) in a zone preliminarily defined as approximately 1-mile east of the intersection of SR 159 and SR 160, and west of the regional flood control detention basin. More detailed analysis and design of the actual intersection will be done in subsequent phases of planning. It is anticipated that the primary access road would be a four-lane roadway at build out. The roadway will also implement alternative hillside roadway standards to ensure it is developed with sensitivity to the environment, existing gradients, and viewshed. The primary access road will traverse the natural topography in a series of S-Curves to gain elevation as it moves up the easterly project escarpment. Grades on the primary access road will average 6 – 8%. It is anticipated that the maximum grade at the top of the road will range from 10-12% for short distances.

Only secondary and emergency access is planned from Route 159, consequently there will be no additional traffic impact on Blue Diamond or on the scenic loop. Gypsum Resources, by evaluating alternative access points to the East and upon a complete environmental and engineering study of the alternatives, in conjunction with Clark County, shall apply for BLM Right-Of-Way to provide an Easterly access for the property.







**Mobility:**

The overall goal for the Gypsum Reclamation Concept Plan is to develop a comprehensive system of land use, open space, and circulation elements that minimizes the dependency on the automobile. One major focus of the plan is to encourage alternative means of transportation and create land use relationships that make alternate forms of travel possible. Creating a comprehensive system of pedestrian and bicycle pathways is key to enhancing accessibility.

Specific transportation and mobility programs and strategies that will be incorporated as part of more detailed planning include car share programs, community bike share, ride share programs, a natural gas bus/van system, a network of paths and trails, and a well-designed, connected street system.

**Secondary Access:**

Secondary access to the project (e.g. emergency vehicle access, interim construction access, maintenance access, etc.) will be provided via the existing historical mine haul road located to the west of the project. This historic mine haul road currently provides access to the site and is accessible through a controlled gate structure at SR 159 approximately 1 mile west of Blue Diamond. This roadway in its current configuration and status provides adequate egress for pre-development activities. It is anticipated that improvements would need to be made in order to meet public works and life safety standards. Anticipated modifications include minor re-alignment of curve radii and surface stabilization.

**4.7 PHASING PLAN**

The Gypsum Reclamation Plan will be implemented on a phased basis. Development of infrastructure and associated land uses will be determined by market demand, required supporting infrastructure and public facilities, and as approved by the Clark County Board of Commissioners as defined in the approved development agreement.

Given that initial phase infrastructure development will generally be coming from the southeast, it is anticipated that the early phases of project development will begin in the southern portions of the property.

Based on anticipated market conditions, the initial phases of development are proposed to begin by 2013. The development will proceed in an orderly and contiguous pattern with subsequent phases beginning approximately 12 months apart.

**4.8 PLAN FLEXIBILITY**

The generalized Conceptual Framework Plan depicts the general allocation and location of land use, open space, and circulation patterns. Actual land uses and specific locations will be refined subject to future phase entitlement studies and reports, planning and technical considerations, market and economic factors, and more detailed analysis and design. For these and other reasons, it is assumed for the Concept Plan that the land use allocations maintain a flexibility of up to 20% of the acreage in each non-residential category and 10% of the acreage in each residential land use category.



## 5.0 COMMUNITY SERVICES





## 5.1 POLICE SERVICE

The Metropolitan Police Department provides police service for the area and will ultimately provide police services for the Study Area and the project. The Metro Resident Officer Program currently services rural communities in the area as well.

The proposed development will increase demand for police services and it is likely that a police station or at a minimum a new substation will be required as part of the ultimate development build-out.

## 5.2 FIRE SERVICE

The Clark County Fire Department provides fire protection for the Northwest Clark County including the Study Area, the project area, and surrounding communities. Stations responsible for responding to medical and fire emergencies are currently located in Blue Diamond. The Blue Diamond Volunteer Fire Department mans Fire Station 80.

It is assumed that development of the proposed project will result in additional Fire/EMS apparatus and fire service facilities being provided.

Fire protection services for the project during development will be provided as required by Clark County Ordinance and the currently adopted Uniform Fire Code.

## 5.3 WATER SERVICE

The developer will be responsible for providing new connections to the existing water, sewer and power infrastructure in the Las Vegas Valley. Because of the limited capacity that currently exists in the area, new offsite mains and feeders will be needed to support the project. These new facilities will be provided in accordance with the utility provider's service rules and will, as is normal for a master plan development, be provided at the developer's cost with no financial impact on the general public.

### Off-Site Facilities

A conceptual plan to provide potable water service to the project is presented on the following diagram (Option 4). Potable water is planned to be supplied from the Las Vegas Valley Water District Facilities. Initial Service will be from the existing Meranto Reservoir (2975) and 3090 Pump Station located on Hualapai south of Blue Diamond Road. This will transport potable water up a series of reservoir and pump stations through a 36" – 42" Mainline running primarily in the Blue Diamond Corridor and ultimately navigating an 1,100' Elevation Gain through the primary access road to the site.

Off-Site Reservoirs and Pumps:

- Future 3090 Reservoir and 3435 Pump Station (3 Zone Lift)
- Future 3435 Reservoir and 3780 Pump Station (3 Zone Lift)
- Future 3780 Reservoir and 4355 Pump Station (High Lift)

This system of Reservoirs and Lifts and transmission lines would transport the water to an Onsite 4355 Reservoir.



## On-Site Facilities

The Project site Developable Areas range in Elevation from approximately 3,900 to just under 5,000 feet. As a result of the developable elevation difference, the project was divided into 10 standard, 115 foot LVVWD pressure zones, based on the existing LVVWD system.

It is assumed that the potable water transmission system would be constructed in the style of “West Summerlin”, in that pump stations would be allowed to pump up-slope as much as three pressure zones to reservoirs, with the interim zones served by pressure reducing valves (PRV’s). Each zone would have the required redundancy in the form of two water sources to meet LVVWD requirements. The Pressure Zones are 5045, 4930, 4815, 4700, 4585, 4470, 4355, 4240, 4125, and 4010.

## Fire Flow Criteria

Gypsum Resources plan for development calls for primarily residential construction with light to medium commercial and potentially light industrial uses that will drive fire flows. With the potential for larger homes with fire flows in excess of 1,500 gpm the design and reservoir storage calculations are assumed to be 3,000 gpm; which should meet or exceed any sprinkled structure fire flow demand in the developable area.

## 5.4 WASTEWATER SERVICE

Gypsum Resources is committed to pursuing economically viable conservation measures. One such measure will be re-using sanitary sewer effluent. Sewer effluent will be processed in a self-contained re-use plant and the resulting gray water will be utilized to irrigate landscaped areas, thereby reducing demands on both the Las Vegas Valley Water District’s and the Clark County Sanitation District’s infrastructure. The use of gray water is expected to save an estimated 600 million gallons of water annually.

## Off-Site Facilities

A conceptual plan to provide wastewater to Blue Diamond Hill is presented in the following diagram (Exhibit 1). There are no public sewer systems in the area; therefore Gypsum Resources has studied several alternatives and access alignments for wastewater treatment. All scenarios assume service will fall under the jurisdiction of the Clark County Water Reclamation District (CCWRD). Accordingly, the wastewater generation of the Gypsum Resources Site was determined based on the same land use assumptions as the water system, but using the CCWRD Design and Construction Standards, Gypsum Resources assumes that wastewater treatment will begin with an onsite treatment facility designed for re-use demands on-site including; construction water, dust control, fire protection and park/open space uses. This system would be followed by an off-site sewer on Blue Diamond Road that connects into the Mountains Edge and/or Rhodes Ranch systems near Durango or Ft. Apache in SR160 and then finally far into the future this connection will route flows into the future Enterprise Water Reclamation Center planned for the future based on regional development.

## On-Site Sewer

The topography of the site slopes generally south and west. Due to the site topography it is assumed that at least one onsite lift station will be required to serve the project. According to CCWRD standards, the lift station would be designed as a public facility for Operation by the CCWRD. Additional lift stations may be required depending on land use and roadway alignments.



Design solutions will be addressed to meet CCWRD standards for slope and velocity. These will be identified during the Wastewater Master Planning process and included in the Public Facility Needs Assessment.

## **5.5 DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL**

The potential for pollutants entering groundwater sources from a mixed-use residential project is lower than the potential risk that currently exists with mining and the associated industrial operations.

Storm water runoff will be controlled on site to ensure that the quantity and velocity of flow leaving the site can be safely accommodated in the natural and manmade downstream storm drainage conveyance systems. The Clark County Regional Flood Control District (CCRFCD) sets strict design criteria to ensure that downstream properties and facilities are not adversely impacted by new development. The project will meet or exceed the CCRFCD design criteria.

## **5.6 SCHOOLS**

Education is one of the cornerstones of the community vision for the Gypsum Reclamation Plan.

The Conceptual Framework Plan incorporates a variety of school sites distributed throughout the community. The plan anticipates a variety of school types including public and private elementary, middle, and high school along with adult education, higher education institutions, and specialty education facilities.

The location, number, and type of schools identified in the Conceptual Framework Plan are preliminary and intended to be guide towards more detailed, comprehensive analysis of the educational uses ultimately provided within the community. Many factors will determine the ultimate school facilities needs (e.g. housing types, percentage of age targeted/age qualified housing, private institutions, etc.). The developer will work closely with the Clark County School District to identify the facility needs and location within the plan. The ultimate demand for school services for the project will be determined during the Specific Plan, Public Facilities Needs Assessment, and Development Agreement phases of the application utilizing the using approved student generation rates.

Until such time the construction of schools in the community is warranted, children will be transported to the nearest school in the Las Vegas Valley. Clark County School District will determine the appropriate schools that are best suited to the projects easterly access. There would be no impact on the Blue Diamond School.



## 6.0 GOING FORWARD





## 6.1 OUR PHILOSOPHY

Clark County has the opportunity to partner with Gypsum Resources and develop a new master planned community that will become the standard for the Southwest. This unique development's success will be the result of following these core philosophies:

- Working Collaboratively
- Designing in a Multi-Disciplinary Approach
- Studying the Detail and the Whole
- Confirming Progress through Measured Outcomes
- Producing Feasible Plans
- Collaborating with the Community

In creating this special place, adaptation and flexibility will be key in ensuring the principals and designs envisioned are followed and improved upon. At the same time, the community character and regional beauty will be protected by Master Community, Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions. These CC&R's will be in place to maintain the community's open spaces, parks, trails, design and development.